Seend Parish NDP Site Assessment Toolkit I Form B

Desktop Assessment - Form A (Separate enclosure) Site Environment and Access Assessment - Form B (Enclosed) Summary - Form C (Separate enclosure)

place

engage • design • deliver

Methodology

<u>An Overall 'how'</u>

This site assessment methodology avoids scoring/ranking matrixes which can be difficult to defend and potentially misleading. It follows a methodology used successfully for other Neighbourhood Plan groups and Local Authority site allocations, and draws key points from the Wiltshire Local Plan methodology. This is the start of the process, further more detailed information, additional assessments and technical advice will be needed.

Desktop Assessment before going to site

- You may not be able to answer all the questions on Form A. Complete those you can, other answers can be added later.
- Use the 7 site URS assessment forms and the Wiltshire SHELAA 2017 as a basis for this desktop review, but review critically, all comments should be based on evidence.
- Not all boxes or topics in the form apply to all sites. Please use your judgement on those that are not relevant. If necessary just mark N/A for Not Applicable.
- For each site you will need copies for all in the survey group of the forms on pages 1-8 and some maps*.

* You are subscribers to Parish Online and can get highly detailed maps from there. The website also has a simple area measurement tool if you need it:

- Go to tools.
- Chose measurement tool.
- Click a corner of the site, click at next corner or change in angle.
- Continue until you are back to first corner.
- Click polygon icon under 'Object Editing Tools' on the box to the right
- The approximate area appears in the small box above.

<u>On site tasks</u>

- Each site would ideally be assessed by a small group of people.
- The approach we prefer is for 1 member of the Steering Group to take 1 site each and to then find 2 or 3 other people to go and look at the site together.
- The others can usefully include someone who lives by/near a site but not more than 1.
- For each site assessment, there are four forms to be completed.
- Read through all the forms before going out on site, just to be sure that it all makes sense. For

forms B and C it is possible to fill in some information before going out, adding to, checking and perhaps changing this once actually looking at a site.

- You will also need at least one clipboard and pens/pencils.
- It is always good to take a few photos. Any photos, or a link to them, should also be kept.
- All in the group can contribute but one final, agreed form is all that is needed for the records.
- Once assessments are complete, they should be kept in a folder ready for the next stage of site selection. Ideally, scan each set of completed forms.

<u>Next Steps</u>

- This process will most likely identify information gaps or gaps in the assessment that mean that a decision on site suitability cannot be made e.g. without an understanding impact of a potential development site on the conservation area and its setting a judgement cannot be made; similarly highways access potential is likely to require specialist advice.
- Additional evidence will then need to be commissioned or gathered e.g. baseline ecology surveys may be needed, landscape or heritage assessments of the site undertaken, utility companies or the local education authority may need to be contacted to see if there are any issues which could make the site unviable.
- Site suitability should be discussed as part of a wider group, with input from Wiltshire planners and your consultants.

FORM B SITE ENVIRONMENT & ACCESS ASSESSMENT

Site:	Working Group:	Date:

PART 1: SITE ENVIRONMENT

1. SITE USES

A. What are the land uses on the site?											
Select as many as apply and annotate uses on map where possible:											
Grazing	Arable	Woodlan	d	Orchar	rd	Forestry	(Grass		Scrub	Shops
Recreat	ion	Housing	Sch	ool	Emplo	yment	Рy	lons &/c	or ov	verhead v	wires
Other (Plea	ase Spe	cify)									
B. What a	re the la	and uses su	rroun	ding the	e site?						
Select as i	many as	apply and a	nnotat	e uses	on map	where poss	sible	:			
Grazing	Arable	Woodlan	d	Orchar	rd	Forestry	(Grass		Scrub	Shops
Recreat	ion	Housing	Sch	ool	Emplo	yment	Py	lons &/c	or ov	verhead v	wires
Other (Plea	ase Spe	cify)									
, ,	•	.,									
C. Is the si	te withi	n the setting	of a h	eritage	asset(s)?					
0			0								
Conservat	ion Area	L	Sche	aulea A	Incient l	Monument		L	lsteo	d Building	9
Locally val Assets loc		designated <i>nce</i>):	herita	ge asse	et (<i>Pleas</i>	se Specify a	nd u	se the S	Seer	nd Local	Heritage

2. SITE LANDFORM

A. How does the site relate to the surrounding landscape and settlement?				
Select from:				
Sits between existing development	Attached at the edge of the settlement			
Separated from the settlement	Previously developed land			
Other (please specify)				
A. What are the site landform featur	res that could influence potential allocation of the site?			
Select as many as apply and mark on	the map where possible:			
Flat Undulating Steep Slo	ope Historic Earthworks Profiling			
Other (please specify)				

3. SITE WATER AND DRAINAGE

A. Are there on-site water or drainage features which may influence site allocation?						
Select and	Select and show features on the map where possible:					
River	stream	springs	ponds	boggy areas	drainage ditches	
Areas prone	e to flood	Others (ple	ease specify)			
B. How do	these water	or drainage	features con	tribute to local c	haracter and wildlife?	
Comment						

4. VIEWS FROM AND OF THE SITE

A. Are there important/valued views from the site? E.g. to distinctive landmarks or a panorama, <i>Refer to the Seend evidence on views</i>				
Comment (Identify views on the map) YES				
	NO			
B. Is the site prominent in views from the surrounding landscape? NB These must be from public viewpoints				
Comment (Identify views on the map)	YES			
	NO			
C. Is there any important off-site screening like hedgerow or trees?				
Comment (Identify on the map)				
	NO			

5. TREES AND HEDGEROWS

A. Are there trees and/or hedgerow on or around the site that should influence potential allocation?			
If yes, why are they important? YES			
Eg Distinct character / species, wildlife value, screening or shelter, of historic interest, of local cultural value			
Comment (Mark all mature trees and hedgerows on the map, and indicate those that considered important)			

6. SITE ECOLOGY

A. What local information do you have of wildlife using the site?

Signs of mammals or insects. Sighting or sounds of birds & key species such as bats, barn owls or kingfishers?

Comment (*Please record below, and where possible mark on the map*)

B. Habitats: What knowledge of any plants or habitat features do you have? Wildflower areas or butterfly, slow worm habitats. Wildlife corridors or water habitats

Comment (*Please record below, and where possible mark on the map*)

7. ON-SITE BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES

A. Which built features on the site and on its boundary, should be retained and why? E.g. Stone walls, stiles, farm buildings, locally valued historic structures or archaeology (*Please specify, and mark on the map*)

Comment

B. Which on-site and boundary features harm local character and why?

E.g. Derelict buildings, power lines and pylons, poor boundaries, concrete hard standing or harm to an historic feature

Comment

8. CULTURAL FEATURES

A. Does the site or its surroundings contribute to local culture and wellbeing? E.g. Events / special trees / historic associations / seasonal activities such as sledging / etc.

Comment (*Please specify, and mark on the map where appropriate*)

PART 2 SITE ACCESS

1. SITE ACCESS FOR VEHICLES, PEDESTRIANS AND CYCLISTS

A. In considering the potential for allocation, what is the potential quality of the site's access for vehicles? Use the boxes below to rank the site	
Consider: Quality of existing vehicle access / Visibility / Potential impact on neighbouring residents / Potential impact on site valued environment features Also consider the suitability of the connecting roads to accommodate additional residential traffic	Tick
Record the locations of existing and potential vehicle accesses and pedestrian and cycle only accesses on the site map	
Good: Safe and access(es) with suitable connecting roads	
Fair: Constrained access(es) with suitable connecting roads or Safe access(es) but onto compromised connecting roads	
Poor : Constrained access with compromised connecting roads.	
Very Poor No potential for safe site access and/or un-suitable connecting roads	
What are the key vehicle access issues or positive features that have shaped your assessment?	
What are the key pedestrian and cycle site access issues or positive features the	

What are the key pedestrian and cycle site access issues or positive features the should influence potential site allocation?

Consider the potential main site access point(s) as well as potential connections to existing public rights of way and cycle paths.

2. DISTANCE AND QUALITY OF WALKING & CYCLING ROUTES TO LOCAL FACILITIES

A. What is the walking distance & quality of the routes to local facilities?

Consider roads and public footpaths/cycle paths and potential conflicts with vehicles at site accesses. Quality of pavements and crossings.

Use the Seend local evidence and community facilities mapping

Facility	Distanc e (metres)	Route Quality	B. What are the issues along each route that need addressing? (Mark on the map if helpful)		
Primary		Good	Also consider: There are two primary schools within 4km		
Schools		Fair			
		Poor			
		Very Poor			
Commu		Good			
nity Hall and WI		Fair			
Hall		Poor			
		Very Poor			
Shop/Po		Good			
st Office		Fair			
	Poor				
	Very Poor				
Pubs		Good			
		Fair			
	Poor				
		Very Poor			
Bus		Good	Also consider: Bus service frequency		
Stop		Fair			
	Poor				
		Very Poor			
Any		Good	Other facility names: e.g. Lye Field, Allotments, Caravan		
other facilities		Fair	Park/Cafe/Shop etc.		
		Poor			
		Very Poor			